The Tai Chi Body

by Mark Bartlett — May 2026

Acknowledgments

This paper would not exist without the teachers who transmitted this practice to me, and the students whose questions clarified my own understanding.

My deepest gratitude is to my teacher, Martin Inn, who has been my guide in this practice for many years. Whatever is worthwhile in this paper reflects what he has patiently shown me; whatever is unclear or mistaken is mine alone. The body-first, felt-experience approach throughout this paper is the approach he has consistently embodied and transmitted, and the lineage he carries — from his teacher Ai Hsin Chueh, and through Ai Hsin Chueh from Cheng Man-ch'ing — is the soil this work grows from.

I am grateful to Ben Lo, in whose workshops I learned much that has shaped my understanding, including the light bulb teaching about awareness, the diagnostic use of the nine directions, and many other clarifications that appear throughout this paper. His clarity and dedication to the practice continue to influence many of us who only met him through workshops.

I owe a broader debt to the entire Cheng Man-ch'ing transmission and to Professor Cheng himself, whose pedagogical genius in adapting Tai Chi for Western students made this practice accessible to people like me who came to it from outside the cultural framework that produced it.

Finally, I am grateful to my own students, whose questions over the years have pressed me to clarify what I thought I knew, and whose practice continues to teach me as much as I teach them. The student whose question prompted this paper deserves particular thanks — without her honest curiosity, this paper would not have been written.

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

TLDR

The Tai Chi body is not a single feature but an integrated condition that arises slowly through patient practice. It is the body in which song (deep relaxation) has released enough holding for the connective tissue to organize as a coherent envelope — the trained body, or jin body, that students experience as a kind of outer shell. Once that shell is established, the inner body can move semi-independently from the outer shape, allowing the curious decoupling visible in advanced practice: postures completed energetically while the outer body remains economical. All of this is held together by zhong ding, central equilibrium — the structural and conscious axis around which everything else organizes. Awareness, yi, and qi are three coordinated faculties operating within this organized body, and the whole practice is a relationship with gravity, met as partner rather than enemy. The Tai Chi body cannot be installed quickly or shortcutted; it is the gradual reorganization that the practice cultivates over years.

How to Read This

This paper is written for serious students of Tai Chi who already have some practice behind them and want a careful description of what the practice is building. The earlier sections (1–4) are accessible to students at any level. The later sections (5–7) are denser and address territory that mostly becomes visible after several years of practice. If parts feel ahead of where you are, that is expected — they are meant to be grown into rather than fully grasped on first reading. Read what lands, set aside what does not, and return when more practice has accumulated. Real understanding here is not built by reading; it is built by training, and the paper is a companion to the work, not a substitute for it.

Opening

A student recently asked me, "What is the Tai Chi body?" I gave her an answer at the time, but the question stayed with me, because it is the kind of question that has many honest answers depending on where you stand in the practice. The beginner needs one answer; the practitioner of ten years needs another; the teacher trying to point students toward something they have not yet felt needs a third. This paper is my attempt to give all three at once — to describe the Tai Chi body in a way that names what is true at every level of the practice, while staying honest about the fact that the deeper levels can only be understood by experience.

A practical starting point: if there were no gravity, there would be no Tai Chi. The whole practice is a relationship with gravity — a learning to relax into it rather than brace against it, to let it organize the body rather than be its enemy. Without weight, there is nothing to sink,

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

nothing to root, nothing for peng to push against, nothing for zhong ding to align with. The Tai Chi body is, in this sense, the body that has come to terms with gravity — that has found its way to an effortless verticality and from there can move with grace through the field of force we all live inside.

What follows is a description of that body, organized around the principles I have found most useful in pointing students toward it. We will begin with song (鬆), because nothing else can develop without it. From song arises the shell — the fascial envelope that gives the Tai Chi body its characteristic feel, what the tradition calls the jin (勁) body. Inside the shell, the inner body becomes available, and with it the curious phenomenon of decoupling: the ability to complete a posture energetically while the outer body remains economical. We will examine the field of yi (意) — the quality of distributed attention that keeps the whole structure alive — and the question of where the mind rests, both in its home at the lower dan tian (下丹田) and in the deployments that arise when situations call for them. We will touch qi (氣) practically, leaving the deeper metaphysics for another time. And we will end at zhong ding (中定), central equilibrium, which turns out to be the through-line that ties everything together.

A note before we begin: Tai Chi can be approached as a science. The instructions are hypotheses; the body is the laboratory; experience is the data. You do not have to believe anything to begin. You only have to be willing to test what your teacher tells you and pay honest attention to what happens. Belief, when it comes, comes as the residue of investigation. That is how I have come to my own understanding, and it is how I encourage my students to come to theirs.

1. Lineage and Adaptation

I teach in the lineage of Cheng Man-ch'ing (鄭曼青), transmitted to me through my teacher Martin Inn, whose teacher was Ai Hsin Chueh. This matters for what follows, because the lineage has a particular character that shapes how it teaches the Tai Chi body.

CMC came to America in the 1960s and was among the first major teachers to bring Yang-style Tai Chi to Western students. He faced a problem traditional teachers in China had not faced: his students did not arrive already inside the cultural framework that gave Tai Chi its language. Terms like qi, yi, shen, jing, dao had been part of the cultural water in China for two thousand years. Western students did not have that water. They had a different one — Cartesian, materialist, performance-oriented, often suspicious of the body's own wisdom. CMC could not assume the framework was already installed. He had to teach into a kind of cultural vacuum.

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

His response was a stroke of pedagogical genius. Rather than insisting Western students adopt traditional Chinese metaphysics before they could practice, he went directly to the body. Song. Sinking. Relaxation. Investment in loss. Swimming on land. These are instructions that work without requiring belief. You can do them as a skeptic, a materialist, an atheist — and the body responds, because the body is the same body anywhere. Once song is real, the trained body begins to form. Once that body forms, the inner body becomes available. The whole sequence runs on felt experience, and the traditional vocabulary becomes available as descriptive shorthand for what the student is already feeling, rather than as required doctrine that must be swallowed up front.

This body-first approach has been carried forward by the teachers who came after CMC. In my own line, Martin Inn's teaching has always emphasized the felt, the specific, the verifiable — the small adjustments in alignment, the deepening of song, the patient work of letting the body discover what the principles point to. The instructions tend to be plain enough that students can underestimate them, but they are doing the deep work — unpacking the body itself rather than installing a metaphysical framework. The student who follows them faithfully arrives at the same place a traditional Chinese student might, by a different path. The destination is the same; the route is body-first.

This paper inherits that approach. I will use Chinese terms — song, peng, yi, zhong ding, qi, shen — because they are precise and because they are how the tradition actually thinks. But the terms are servants of the felt experience, not requirements for it. If you do not yet know what song feels like, no amount of definition will help; if you do, no definition is necessary. The work, always, is on the body.

One more thing about the lineage is worth saying clearly. This adaptation is itself a beautiful instance of wu wei (無為) in pedagogy: meeting students where they are, not forcing the cultural transmission, trusting that the principles are universal enough to surface through any honest practice. The form of the teaching mirrors the content of the teaching — and that pattern will keep showing up as we go.

2. Song — The Gate

Song (鬆) is usually translated as "relaxation," but the English word is too thin for what is meant. Ordinary relaxation is a passive condition — the absence of effort, what happens when you stop doing something. Song is active. It is a deep, intentional, sustained letting-go of the holding patterns the body uses to brace against gravity, against perceived threat, against the world. It is relaxation as a continuous practice, not a momentary state. And it is the gate through which everything else in Tai Chi has to pass.

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

Without song, nothing else develops. The classics know this — the entire transmission keeps returning to it, in different words, at every level. CMC built his teaching around it. Martin's instruction is steeped in it. Ask a senior practitioner what they are working on, and after fifty years they will likely say: getting more relaxed. The work is never finished, because song has depth. There is the song you arrive at in your first months — the obvious holding in the shoulders releasing, the jaw unclenching, the breath dropping. Then there is the song of the deeper layers — the iliopsoas letting go, the diaphragm fully releasing, the small muscles around the spine softening. And then, much later, there is the song of the connective tissue itself, the fascial system finally consenting to let the bones hang in their natural alignment. Each layer takes years. Each layer makes the next one possible.

It is worth naming early what song is at root. The body is in a continuous relationship with gravity, and most of the holding patterns we are releasing are *responses to gravity that have become unnecessary* — old bracing, ancient guarding, layers of compensation built up over decades. Song is the gradual letting-go of those responses, so that the body can come into a new relationship with the field of force it lives in. The deepest layer of song is the layer in which the body finally trusts gravity enough to stop fighting it. We will return to this in the closing sections; for now, simply note that song is not just relaxation in the abstract — it is the body's progressive yes to gravity.

What is not always understood is *why* nothing else can substitute for song. The reason is structural. The buoyant, elastic, distributed quality that creates the shell can only arise in a body that has released enough holding to let the connective tissue work as one continuous network. As long as muscular bracing dominates, the fascial system cannot organize itself into a coherent envelope. The bracing fragments the body into segments, each defending its own piece of territory. Song dissolves the segmentation. Once the segments soften into wholeness, the trained-body quality begins to arise on its own. You cannot install it on a tense body. You can only remove what prevents it.

This is why "use yi, not li" (用意不用力) is not just a martial preference but a structural necessity. *Li* is muscular force, the kind that segments the body and prevents the network from coordinating. *Yi* is intent, which can organize the body without segmenting it. The instruction is telling you: stop using the very thing that prevents the Tai Chi body from forming. Do not add yi on top of li — replace li with yi. The first half of that replacement is letting go of li, which is song.

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

The Belief Substrate

But song is not produced on command. The nervous system does not let go because you tell it to. It lets go when it feels safe enough to let go, and "safe enough" is not a purely physical condition. It is shaped by what the deeper layers of the self believe is happening. A student who fundamentally does not believe the practice can do anything, who is there skeptically or to humor a partner, whose deeper somatic conviction is *this is silly* or *I need to maintain control* — that student's tissue will not release. The holding patterns are held in place by belief, often beneath conscious awareness. You can give the perfect instruction and the body will not respond, because the body is taking orders from a deeper layer that has not given permission.

This is one of the genuinely hard things to teach, because the obstacle is not technical and cannot be addressed by more technique. It can only be addressed by building, slowly, the layer of trust that allows the body to release. I call this the *belief substrate* — the foundation of trust, in the practice, in the teacher, in the body's own wisdom, that makes deeper song possible. Without it, students plateau. With it, they keep developing, year after year, into territory they could not have reached if they had been required to push through.

The good news is that the belief substrate can be built scientifically. Tai Chi is well-suited to a hypothesis-and-test approach, and I encourage my students to take exactly that stance. The teacher gives an instruction, which is essentially a hypothesis — *if you let your shoulder drop, your arm will become heavier and your partner will have a harder time uprooting you*. The student tests it. Either the prediction holds or it does not. If it holds, the belief substrate adds a brick. If it does not, either the test was bad or the hypothesis needs refinement, and you investigate. Over years, the substrate becomes load-bearing not because anyone insisted on it but because thousands of small predictions kept coming true. That belief is *earned*, and earned belief holds up under pressure — including the pressure of doubt, of bad days, of skeptical friends, of the long plateaus where nothing seems to be happening.

Going to the Fearful Places

Here is one of the most important practices in the development of song, and one that students often overlook: *go to the areas of the body that produce fear*. Fear in the body — the contraction, the bracing, the held breath, the place that will not soften — is information. It is the body reporting that something at that location is being protected, and protection means there is a story underneath about what would happen if the protection were released.

Most practitioners avoid these areas. They work where the practice is comfortable and pleasant, and call that progress. But the comfortable areas were probably going to soften anyway. The real work is in the places that do not want to be touched.

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

What is beautiful about the scientific frame is that it gives a way *into* those fearful areas without having to overcome the fear directly. You do not need to be brave; you need to be curious. *I notice my left hip will not release. What happens if I bring attention there gently and just ask it to soften, without demanding? What happens if I breathe into it? What happens if I notice what story shows up when I try?* The student is not fighting the fear; they are investigating it. And the investigation itself often dissolves the fear, because fear thrives in unconsciousness and weakens under sustained patient attention. The protective pattern was holding because it did not believe anyone was paying attention. Once attention arrives without judgment, the pattern often relaxes its grip.

This is also where another principle of practice lives, alongside the fearful places: *find the places that are already operating with wu wei*. Standing meditation is full of these moments. You will be standing, mind elsewhere, and suddenly notice that your weight has settled on its own, your breath has deepened on its own, your shoulders have dropped without instruction. That spontaneous correctness is wu wei in the body — the body doing the right thing because nothing is forcing it to do otherwise. Noticing it, recognizing it, *appreciating* it, is how you build the belief that the body knows what to do when conditions allow. Collect enough of these experiences and the belief becomes: *the practice works by removing obstacles and letting the body's wisdom emerge*, rather than *the practice works by my forcing the body to do specific things*. That is a phase change in how you practice, and it is belief-built, experience by experience.

So song is not just relaxation. It is the trained capacity of the nervous system to give consent for release, in deeper and deeper layers, supported by a belief substrate built patiently from honest investigation. It is the gate through which the trained body, the inner body, and everything that follows must pass. The lifetime of the practice is largely the lifetime of this gate continuing to open.

3. The Shell — The Jin Body

Once song has been worked patiently for some time, something begins to happen that students rarely have language for. The body starts to feel different — not just looser or softer, but *organized* in a new way. There is a sense of coherence to it, a felt unity that was not there before. Touch one part and the whole responds. Move one limb and the rest of the body participates without being told. The segments that used to operate independently have begun to function as a single connected whole.

What students are starting to feel is the trained body itself — what I will call here the *jin body* — beginning to emerge. This is the shell.

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

A useful image: it is as if there is an outer envelope to the body, slightly buoyant, evenly tensioned, distributed across the entire surface — and the inner body can move *inside* that envelope without disturbing it. The shell holds its integrity while the bones, muscles, and breath rearrange themselves underneath. Once you have felt this, you know what the trained body actually is. Before then, it tends to be confused with simply being relaxed, or with being skilled at specific techniques. The shell-version is more fundamental. It is a state the whole body is in.

A Note on Peng

Before going further, a clarification is in order, because this is one of the most common points of confusion in the CMC tradition.

Peng (掤) is usually translated as "ward off," and it is the energy expressed in an early posture in the form — the rising rounded arm meeting incoming force. In this narrow sense, peng is one of the eight energies, the active warding-off application. This is what students learn first, and it is correct as far as it goes.

But the tradition also uses peng in a broader sense, sometimes called *peng jin* (掤勁), to refer to the underlying buoyant quality that must pervade the entire trained body for any of the eight energies to function. The classical formulation — peng energy fills the whole body (掤勁充滿全身) — is not describing a technique; it is describing a state. In this broader sense, peng is the substrate; the eight energies come out of it.

The same word is being used at two different levels of the practice, and the tradition trusts the student to figure out from context which is meant. This works in oral transmission, but it tends to confuse students who learn peng first as a posture and then hear teachers describe it as something that pervades everything. They are not wrong to be confused — the same word is genuinely doing two jobs.

In this paper, I will keep peng for the specific energy and use *jin* (勁) — trained internal power — for the substrate-level phenomenon. The *jin body* is the trained body in which peng (in its broad sense), and the other seven energies, can all arise as needed. The shell is a felt description of the jin body; jin is a more technical name for the same thing. When I refer to peng in the rest of the paper, I will generally mean the specific ward-off energy, with the broader sense flagged when relevant.

A note for students who go reading elsewhere: other lines and writers — particularly in Yang and Chen traditions — use *peng-jin* for what I am here calling the jin body. That usage is well-established and entirely valid. The split I am making in this paper is a convention for clarity, not a claim about how the term should be used. If you encounter "peng-jin" in another

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

context referring to the substrate-level body quality, that is the same phenomenon I am describing here under a different name.

Jin as Substrate

The classical thirteen postures (十三勢) are usually listed as the eight gates and the five steps: peng, lu, ji, an, cai, lie, zhou, kao, and the four directional steps plus zhong ding. It can sound like these are independent energies, eight separate techniques that a practitioner deploys in different situations.

They are not independent. They all rest on jin — the trained, organized, alive quality of the body that is the shell. Lu is the jin body yielding. Ji is the jin body concentrating forward. An is the jin body descending. Cai, lie, zhou, kao — each is the jin body expressing in a particular direction or manner. Without jin underneath, lu becomes collapse, ji becomes muscular shove, an becomes pressing with force. The eight energies are not alternatives to the jin body; they are *what the jin body does*.

This means the shell is not optional equipment that some practitioners have and others do not. The shell *is* the trained body. Everything the practice eventually does — the eight energies, the form, push hands, sword — all of it presupposes the jin body as the medium through which the work happens. Without it, you can imitate the shapes of Tai Chi, but you will not yet be doing what the classics are pointing at.

Tonal, Not Muscular

The most important thing to understand about the jin body is that it is *tonal*, not muscular. It is not held by gripping. It is held by yi — by the quality of distributed attention and intent that organizes the connective tissue into a coherent envelope. The moment muscle takes over, the shell stops being a shell and becomes a brace. The inner mobility disappears. The buoyancy collapses. The whole thing becomes another form of holding, which is the opposite of song.

This is hard for beginners to feel, because they do not yet have the experience of tonal organization without muscular tension. They have spent their lives producing strength by tensing, and the idea of strength without tension is foreign. The first time the jin body genuinely arises in a student's body, they often laugh, or look surprised, or say something like "I am not doing anything." That is correct. They are *not* doing anything in the muscular sense. The shell has arisen because they have stopped doing what was preventing it, and the body has organized itself into the state it was waiting to be in.

CMC's metaphor of "swimming on land" is partly describing this. There is a quality of resistance you move through in form practice, but the resistance is not muscular tension you

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

are producing — it is the tonal field of the jin body itself. You are moving inside a slightly buoyant medium that your own body has generated. The form, done correctly, has a feeling not unlike moving through water. Light, but met. Free, but supported.

Tendon Transformation and Fascial Tensegrity

The classical Chinese understanding of what the practice is doing has long pointed at the connective tissue. The phrase 易筋 (yi jin) — *transforming the tendons* — names exactly this work. *Jin* in this older sense (筋, distinguished from 勁) refers to tendons, sinews, and connective tissue together. The classical claim is that the practice gradually changes the quality of these tissues — making them more elastic, more responsive, more integrated as a single system — and that this transformation is the structural basis of the trained body.

A contemporary biomechanical framework maps onto this with surprising precision: fascial tensegrity. The term comes from architecture (tensegrity = "tensional integrity") and refers to structures held together by a continuous network of tensioned elements rather than by stacked compression. Buckminster Fuller's geodesic domes are tensegrity structures. So, it turns out, is the human body when its fascial system is functioning as a continuous network rather than as fragmented compartments.

The fascia — the connective tissue that wraps every muscle, organ, and bone, and that connects all of them into a single continuous web — is not just packaging. It is a sensory, contractile, and load-bearing organ in its own right. Recent research (Helene Langevin, Robert Schleip, Tom Myers, and others) has shown that the fascial system has its own tone, responds to attention and intention, and can be trained to function as a unified whole. When it does, the body behaves like a tensegrity structure: local deformation is absorbed and distributed across the whole network, no single point bears excessive load, and the structure as a whole is both stable and adaptive.

This is what the jin body is, in mechanical terms. The shell is the body once the fascial system has been organized by song and yi into a continuous, evenly-tensioned, sensorially-alive envelope. The "outer shell you can move inside of" is the fascial network functioning as a coherent tensegrity surface, while the bones and muscles continue to do their ordinary work underneath.

This framing is useful for two reasons. First, it gives students a contemporary scientific vocabulary for something that traditionally was described only in classical terms. Some students need that bridge in order to take the phenomenon seriously enough to pursue it. Second, it points to *why* song is the prerequisite. Fascia held in chronic muscular bracing cannot organize as a tensegrity network. The tension dominates the system, fragments it, and prevents the distributed coherence that the jin body requires. Only a body that has released

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

enough holding can let the fascial network do what it is capable of doing.

The classical and modern frameworks are pointing at the same thing. *Yi jin* — transforming the tendons — is what fascial tensegrity research is now describing in terms of connective tissue plasticity, neuromuscular reorganization, and the development of integrated tonal control across the body's connective web. The traditional practice has been working with this system for centuries, by methods that produce the right conditions for the transformation to occur, without needing to know what we now know about its mechanisms.

There is one more thing to notice about the jin body that connects back to the gravity theme. The shell is, in a sense, *gravity-organized*. The fascial network develops its tonal coherence around the line of force the body lives in — the vertical axis along which weight settles toward the ground. A body in zero gravity would have no need for, and no way of developing, the kind of distributed tensional support that the shell expresses. The shell is what an upright body in a gravitational field looks like when it has stopped fighting and started cooperating with that field. We will see in section 8 that this same observation is what zhong ding finally is.

What the Shell Does

Once the jin body exists, several things become possible that are otherwise impossible. The body can absorb and redirect force without local collapse — a push at the chest is distributed across the whole envelope and answered globally rather than locally. Movement becomes whole-body rather than segmental — the legs, torso, and arms operate as one connected system rather than as parts being coordinated by effort. Sensitivity increases dramatically — the shell is sensory, and a partner's intentions become readable through contact in a way they are not to a less developed body. And, most importantly for what comes next, the shell creates a stable outer container within which the inner body can begin to move with a freedom it did not have before.

That is the subject of the next section. Once the jin body is real, the outer body and the inner body begin to decouple — and a new dimension of the practice opens up.

4. The Inner Body and Decoupling

Once the jin body is established, something interesting becomes possible. The outer shape of the body and the inner organization of the body can begin to operate semi-independently. The shell stays whole and continuous on the outside; inside, the bones, muscles, fascia, breath, and yi can rearrange themselves without disturbing the envelope. This is what I will call the *decoupling* — the ability of the inner body to move differently from, and sometimes more fully than, what the outer shape shows.

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

This is one of the harder phenomena to describe in writing because students rarely have a felt reference for it before it begins to arise in their own practice. But once it is there, it explains a great deal about what advanced practitioners are doing — and especially about what they look like they *are not* doing.

Yi-Led Completion

The classical sequencing is given in the *Mental Elucidation of the Thirteen Postures* (十三勢行功心解): 意到氣到身到 — the yi arrives, then the qi arrives, then the body arrives. The order matters. Yi leads, qi follows, the body completes. What this implies, when fully unfolded, is that the body's "completion" of a posture can happen at the level of yi long before — and sometimes without ever — the outer body fully completes the same shape.

A clearer way to put it: in a developed practitioner, the posture is fully completed by the yi and the qi, and the outer body only goes as far as it needs to. The inner body has *already arrived* at the full expression. The outer body simply does not need to express the whole thing physically because the energetic completion is already there.

This is why the form of an advanced practitioner often looks economical, even understated, while having a quality of fullness that students cannot match by reaching further with the arms or stepping wider. The fullness is not a function of how much physical extension is happening; it is a function of how completely the yi and qi have arrived at the posture's expression. The outer body is following along, doing its part, but it is no longer the primary site of the work.

The sword form is where this becomes most visible. Sword footwork is famously lighter and more mobile than hand-form footwork — shorter stances, less weight commitment, more readiness to step. To a student watching, the sword can look "incomplete" compared to the hand form. But the energetic completion is full. CMC's sword especially shows this; the physical body stays light and mobile because sword's quickness requires it, while the qi and yi extend out through the blade, completing each posture energetically far beyond where the feet are. The sword is not a less-complete form — it is a form where the decoupling is more visible, because the outer body has been deliberately freed to move while the inner work continues at full intensity.

Rollback as the Worked Example

The clearest place to see all of this in operation is in push hands, and rollback (lu, 履) is a particularly good demonstration because the entire technique depends on the decoupling working correctly throughout a continuous process.

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

The setup: your partner pushes you with both hands — a *double push* directed at your chest or arms. Your job is to receive that push and redirect it with your warding-off arm, often the left, in a way that takes them past you rather than meeting them with resistance.

The first thing that has to happen is at the moment of initial contact. The partner, on touching, feels something specific: they feel that they are *close to your center*. They feel that your central axis — what in this lineage we call the *Tai Chi Pole*, the vertical organizing line of your structure that runs from baihui at the crown through the perineum to the point between the feet — is right there, just a little further in, pushable. This perception is what commits them to the push. If they did not feel this, they would not commit. They might probe, they might disengage, they might wait. The technique requires their full commitment, and their full commitment requires that they feel they have a real target.

So the first job of the rollback person is to maintain *the illusion that the partner has you*. The warding-off arm is soft enough that the partner feels their push will work. The Tai Chi Pole appears available. The center seems within reach. Everything about the contact tells them: *yes, push, there is something there to be pushed*.

But while that illusion is being maintained on the outside, the waist is already turning, and the inner body is already moving. From the very first instant of contact, the rollback person is in motion — but the motion is hidden inside an outer shape that continues to feel, to the partner, like a target.

Now comes the part that is easy to miss. As the process unfolds, the partner's situation is *continuously* deteriorating, but they do not know it. With each fraction of a second their commitment deepens — more weight forward, more intention extended, more of their balance invested in the push. Meanwhile, the waist continues to turn, the inner body continues to move, the actual center continues to shift away from where the partner thinks it is. At every instant of this process, the partner is becoming less advantageous and the rollback person is becoming more advantageous. But because the outer shell of the warding-off arm continues to feel like a target, the partner does not register what is happening. They keep pushing into the illusion.

This is the central skill the rollback person is performing: *continuously improving advantageousness throughout the encounter*. The technique is not a single moment of yielding followed by a takedown — it is a continuous process in which the advantageousness relationship between the two partners shifts smoothly and one-directionally from initial contact through final disruption. The rollback person's job, from contact to completion, is to ensure that the trend of the encounter is in their favor at every moment, even though their outer shape continues to suggest the opposite. In real exchanges there will be micro-moments of disadvantage that need to be recovered; the ideal of unbroken advantageousness is a direction

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

of work, not a static state. What matters is that the overall trajectory keeps moving toward the rollback person's advantage.

How is this accomplished? By the inner body and the outer shell working as separate-but-coordinated layers. The outer shell yields, stays soft, maintains the illusion. The inner body turns, redistributes, repositions the actual center, sets up the next phase of the process. The shell is what the partner feels and commits to. The inner body is what is actually doing the work of preserving structure and advantageousness. The decoupling is not a martial trick; it is the *only* way to maintain advantageousness through a continuous yielding process. A single-bodied practitioner who yields gives up advantageousness as they yield. A two-bodied practitioner — outer shell yielding, inner body adjusting — maintains advantageousness *because* of the yielding, by hiding the structural reorganization inside it.

By the time the right arm comes around — following near the partner's back, ideally without touching — the partner has been continuously losing advantageousness for the entire duration of the technique. They cannot recover, not because of any sudden movement on the rollback person's part, but because every instant of their push has been an investment into a target that was not there, deepening their commitment to a position that no longer exists. Their weight is past the point of recovery. The right arm completes the technique by being present, by extending the yi through and around them, but the actual disrupting work has already been done — done continuously, throughout the process, by the relationship between their commitment and the rollback person's hidden reorganization.

If the right arm touches them, they feel the contact and get information about what is happening. They might be able to use that information to adjust. Without the contact, they have only the void where they thought you were, and the deepening commitment that has now become a fall. They fall forward and to your left, often to their own great surprise — the surprise of having continuously believed they were close to a target that, by the time they realize it is not there, has already taken them past the point of return.

Look again at what was happening, with the temporal dimension included. From the outside, very little appears to have happened: the waist turned, the arms moved, no force was visible, no contest occurred. But on the inside, through every instant of the technique, a continuous process was unfolding. The jin in the warding-off arm shifted from peng to lu — not as a switch, but as a smooth transition tracking the partner's commitment. The decoupling worked continuously — the shell maintaining the illusion of presence, the inner body continuously moving the actual center to a new organizing position. The advantageousness relationship shifted smoothly and irreversibly from "partner has you" to "you have partner," with no single moment in which the shift could be felt by the partner and resisted.

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

What the Practitioner Actually Feels

It is worth being concrete about what the rollback person is actually perceiving during the encounter, because the felt qualities are less mystical than students sometimes imagine and more useful than they sometimes realize.

What I feel when in contact with a partner is a relatively short list of qualities, each of which gives me different information about the encounter. I feel whether the partner is *advantageous* or losing advantageousness — there is a quality to the relationship between two structures in contact that registers directly as who is in the better position at this moment. I feel their *heaviness* — the degree to which they have committed weight into the contact, and where that weight is being received in their own body. I feel their *stagnancy* — the places where their attention has locked and their tissue has stopped being responsive. I feel *us together as a unit* — a quality that arises when contact is being made with sufficient sensitivity, in which the two-body system becomes perceivable as a single coupled entity with its own dynamics. And I feel *when I have floated them* — the moment when the partner's structure has lost its root and is being carried by the shared field rather than supporting itself.

Notice what is not on this list: I do not directly feel my partner's qi. What I feel are the *secondary effects* — the tissue, the structure, the relationship, the response of their body to whatever is happening between us. Qi may be at work in producing those felt phenomena, but the felt sense itself is the structural reality, not qi as a separate sensation. This matters for students, because it means the cultivation is not "learn to feel qi" as if it were a hidden substance. The cultivation is to develop the sensitivity that perceives advantageousness, heaviness, stagnancy, and the unit — and these are perceivable directly, by a body that has trained the right kind of attention through enough contact.

The Pushing Person's Side

Push hands is teaching both partners at once. The rollback person is learning to maintain advantageousness through yielding; the pushing person is learning something equally important. They are learning to *not be greedy*.

The candy is dangling right there — that target, that center, that Tai Chi Pole that seems pushable. Everything in the partner's instinct says: *push it, take it, finish them*. The pushing person has to learn, in the very moment of feeling that the target is available, to *not commit* in the way the rollback person is inviting them to commit. They have to learn to push without leaning past their own root. To extend without losing their own structure. To engage without abandoning their own center for the imagined reward of taking the partner's.

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

Most practitioners cannot do this at first, and the rollback person's job is, in a way, to teach them by exposing the failure. When a beginner pushes a developed practitioner, they get rolled back not primarily because the practitioner is skilled but because the beginner is *greedy* — they over-commit, they reach, they pursue the apparent opening, and in doing so they hand over their own balance. The rollback person is not taking their balance; the beginner is *giving* it, in exchange for what they thought was a target.

The same exchange teaches several things that the practice cannot teach in any other way:

Sensitivity. The pushing person has to learn to feel the difference between a real target and an offered illusion. This is ting jin (聽勁) — listening energy — the trained capacity to read what the partner's body is actually doing through contact, rather than reacting to what it appears to be doing. A pushing person with developed ting jin can feel the rollback person's waist beginning to turn before it shows, can sense the shell's softness as a warning rather than an opportunity, can notice the absence of a real center behind the apparent target. Without ting jin, they push the candy and fall forward.

Restraint of greed. There is a quality the classics gesture at with phrases like *do not exceed* or *stop at the proper place*, and what they are partly pointing at is the discipline of not pushing beyond what your structure can support, even when the apparent reward seems within reach. Greedy pushing is not a moral failing in any obvious sense — it is a structural failing dressed as desire. The greedy pusher loses their root in the act of reaching for what they want. The disciplined pusher takes only what they can take without compromising themselves.

Ego management. Push hands exposes ego more reliably than almost any other practice. The desire to win, to dominate, to be the one who pushes the partner over — these show up immediately as physical commitments that compromise the structure. A pusher who *needs* to succeed will push past their root. A pusher who can let go of the need to succeed can stay rooted, and ironically becomes more effective. The classical instruction *invest in loss* (吃虧) addresses this directly. You cannot develop genuine skill while clinging to the need to win each exchange. You have to be willing to be pushed, repeatedly, in order to learn the things that being pushed reveals.

Mind quality. A grasping mind produces a grasping body. A pushing person whose attention is locked on the apparent target — point-yi at its most martial — becomes structurally locked at that point themselves, and so they can be redirected from anywhere else. A pushing person whose attention remains distributed, who is *aware* of their own structure and the partner's whole body and the surrounding space, cannot be drawn into over-commitment as easily. They feel the illusion as illusion. The quality of mind in the pushing person is what determines whether the candy looks like candy or like the trap that it is.

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

So both partners are training, in every exchange. The rollback person is training the maintenance of advantageousness through yielding. The pushing person is training the maintenance of structure through engagement. Both are training sensitivity, both are training the capacity to be in contact without losing themselves, both are training the kind of mind that can act effectively without grasping. And the failures — the pushing person who falls forward, the rolling-back person whose waist turns too early or whose shell becomes hard — are not punishments, they are the practice's most honest feedback. The body does not lie about these things. Push hands shows you exactly where you are.

What the Decoupling Does for Practice

In push hands, the decoupling is the difference between a student who can imitate the shapes of yielding and a student who can actually yield. The student without decoupling is a single body — when they yield, they yield with everything, and so their yielding is also their structural compromise. The advanced practitioner is two bodies: an outer shape that yields completely and an inner body that has already moved to its new organizing position. The yielding is not a giving-up of structure; it is a *redistribution* of structure, hidden inside the yielding shape.

In form practice, the decoupling is what gives the form its quality of *aliveness*. A form done without decoupling looks like choreography — accurate shapes performed in sequence. A form done with decoupling has a quality you can see but cannot quite point to. The shapes are economical, even modest, but each posture has a fullness that goes beyond the visible extension. The yi has arrived; the qi has arrived; the body has arrived only as far as it needs to, but the posture is *complete*. This is what students mean when they say a master's form has "presence" or "weight" — they are seeing the inner body's full arrival expressed through an outer body that is no longer doing the heavy lifting.

In sword, the decoupling extends through the weapon. The blade becomes part of the shell; the yi extends through the tip; the inner body completes the posture out to a point well beyond where the feet are. This is why sword footwork can be so light and so quick — the weight commitment of the hand form is no longer needed, because the energetic root and the energetic completion are no longer being carried primarily by the feet. The yi has extended far enough out to organize the whole expression from a different center.

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

A Caution

One thing must be said for students. The decoupling is *earned* by the development of the jin body and song. It cannot be faked, and it cannot be skipped. A beginner who tries to "decouple" without first having the shell will simply be doing sloppy form, with a small outer movement and no inner completion, calling it advanced practice. This is the most common pitfall students fall into when they hear about this aspect of the practice. The economical-looking form of the master and the economical-looking form of the lazy beginner can look similar from the outside; they are entirely different from the inside. One has full inner completion behind the small outer expression; the other has nothing.

So the instruction, when describing the decoupling to students, has to come paired with the warning: do not try to do this directly. Do the patient work of song and the jin body, and the decoupling will arise on its own when the conditions are right. It cannot be installed. It can only be allowed.

5. The Field of Yi

We have talked about song, about the jin body, about the decoupling that makes rollback possible. Behind all of these is a quality that has been present in everything we have described but has not yet been named directly: the *quality of the mind* during practice. Specifically, the way attention is organized.

There is a distinction worth making sharply, because it determines whether everything else in the practice works or fails. I will call it *point-yi* and *field-yi*. The classical literature does not use these exact terms, but the distinction they point at is everywhere in the tradition once you know to look for it.

Point-Yi

Point-yi is what happens when the mind grips a single location — a contact point, a perceived threat, a part of the form you are worried about, a sensation that has captured your attention. The mind goes there and stays there. From the inside, this often feels like *concentrating*, and concentration is generally considered a virtue, so the practitioner does not notice that something has gone wrong.

But concentration of this kind has a structural consequence. The fascial envelope can only stay continuous if attention stays continuous. Stagnant local attention creates a stagnant local zone in the body. That zone becomes findable, pushable, breakable. The opponent's hand finds your mind before it finds your structure, because your mind got there first and froze the tissue

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

around it. You have, in effect, told the opponent where to push.

This is why point-yi is the most common failure mode in push hands, and the one that beginners cannot diagnose in themselves without help. They feel the partner's contact, and they go to it with their mind, *helplessly*. Their attention rushes to the contact point as if pulled there. The body follows. The shell collapses to that location. The technique that should have worked does not. They were defeated, but not by the partner — they were defeated by their own attention being captured.

The same failure happens in form practice in subtler ways. The student focuses on getting one posture exactly right, and the rest of the body becomes vague. The student worries about a specific transition, and the worry shows up as a held breath and a stiffened shoulder during that transition. The student tries to feel qi in the hands, and the hands become tense as the attention grips them. Wherever the mind locks, the body locks. The form becomes a sequence of bright points and dim regions, when it should be a continuous, evenly-illuminated whole.

Hardness as Information

There is a martial corollary to point-yi that my teacher Martin Inn would teach in push hands at the Inner Research Institute. When you push your partner, push *ninety degrees from the felt hardness*. Wherever you feel a hard place in their body, that hardness tells you something specific: their attention has gone there, and to create that hard zone they have necessarily sacrificed the integrity of every other zone. Their shell is no longer continuous. They have become strong in one place and weak everywhere else. So the technique is to push perpendicular to the hardness — not into it, where their attention is waiting, but at an angle they have no resources to defend, because all of their resources are committed to the hard place.

This is point-yi diagnosed by touch and exploited as a martial principle. The hardness is the partner's mind made physical, and the perpendicular push goes around it to a region where their mind is not. Beginners typically push *into* hardness because hardness feels like the thing to overcome. Martin's instruction reverses this: hardness is not the obstacle, hardness is the *information* that tells you where the obstacle is not.

This also tells you what is being trained on the rollback side. The rollback person is trying to make sure there is no hardness anywhere — not at the contact point, not somewhere else they have unconsciously gripped, not anywhere their attention has stagnated. Field-yi is what makes this possible. With field-yi, attention is distributed evenly enough that no zone of the body becomes notably harder than any other. There is nothing for the partner to find with their ninety-degree push, because there is no hardness anywhere to push perpendicular to. The shell stays uniform, and the partner has no entry.

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

Reaching Around the Strong Place

A related principle, taught by other teachers in different vocabularies, is to send your awareness through the partner's *fascia* rather than into their bones, joints, and structural strong points. Where the partner is strong, they are also locally committed — and locally committed means they have less capacity to respond elsewhere. The trained sensitivity learns to feel where the partner is *not* defended, and to send attention along the fascial network around their strong places to those undefended regions. This is the same principle as Martin's ninety-degrees instruction, expressed in a different anatomical vocabulary. Hardness, structural strength, locked attention — these are all forms of local commitment that create undefended geometry elsewhere, and the trained practitioner learns to find and use that geometry.

Blanking — Losing the Thread

There is another failure mode that students fall into, especially in form practice, and it is worth naming because it is different from point-yi and requires a different correction. This is *blanking out* — losing the thread of what one is doing, the mind going somewhere else, the body continuing to move on autopilot but without presence behind it.

Where point-yi is *too much* attention at one point, blanking is *no* attention anywhere. The student's body keeps performing the form, often passably, but the practitioner is no longer there. They have decoupled from the present moment. Their mind has wandered to what they need to do later, to a worry, to a memory, to the question of whether they are doing the form correctly, to anything other than the body moving in this room at this moment. The form continues; the practice has stopped.

This is one of the most common ways beginners fail in form practice, and it is sometimes harder to diagnose than point-yi because the outer body looks fine. The student is going through the motions accurately. Only on closer inspection can you see that the eyes are unfocused, the breath has decoupled from the movement, the postures arrive in their shapes but without arriving in their meaning. The practitioner has lost the thread.

Both point-yi and blanking are forms of decoupling from the present. Point-yi narrows the present to a single point; blanking abandons the present altogether. Field-yi is the alternative to both — the present is held *as a whole field*, fully inhabited, not narrowed and not abandoned. The student who is fully present in the now, with attention distributed across the body and the moment, has neither problem. They are *here*, and the practice can do its work through them.

The correction for blanking is simply to come back. Notice the mind has gone, and gently bring it home to the body, the breath, the moment of the form one is in. This is the same instruction that contemplative traditions give for any form of mind-wandering. It is not

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

punishment; it is the training itself. Each time you notice the mind has gone and bring it back, you are building the capacity to stay present. This capacity is what the long practice is partly developing — the ability to remain in the now, with the body, through the entire form, and eventually through the entire encounter with another person, without the mind wandering off into thought or freezing onto a single point.

Field-Yi

Field-yi is the alternative to both point-yi and blanking. Awareness is *distributed*, present everywhere in the body and in the surrounding space, without any single location dominating, and without abandoning the present moment. The mind is not gripping anything; it is *resting*, alive and aware, throughout the field of the body and a bit beyond.

When this is the quality of mind, the shell stays whole. The trained body's coherence stays distributed because attention stays distributed. There is no findable center because there is no zone of locked attention. A push arrives, and the whole shell answers — not because the practitioner computed a response, but because the field was already listening everywhere. This is why advanced practitioners seem to neutralize *before* the push lands. They are not faster; they are more present, in more places, simultaneously. The neutralization has already begun by the time the contact registers, because the field of attention was already organizing the body to receive whatever came.

Field-yi is what allows the rollback we examined in the previous section to work. The pushing person attempts to draw the rollback person into point-yi at the contact point. Their push is, in part, an invitation to focus there. If the rollback person's attention is captured, the trick fails — because once the attention is locked at the contact, the waist cannot turn freely, the inner body cannot reorganize, the right arm cannot extend its yi through and around the partner. All of the decoupling depends on the rollback person's attention staying *whole* throughout the encounter, even as one specific location is in vivid contact. The contact is known but not gripped. The shell yields at the contact while the field of awareness continues to encompass the whole body, the partner, and the space around them.

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

One Step Behind

There is a related observation about contact and timing that helps explain why field-yi defeats reactive attention so reliably. When force or momentum is transferred between two bodies, the receiving body only registers the force *after* it has arrived. By the time the receiver is reacting, the force has already shifted their structure, and they are responding to a situation that no longer exists. They are always one step behind. The harder they react, the more they brace against a force that is no longer where they think it is, and the more they expose themselves to whatever is coming next.

A practitioner with field-yi avoids this problem by not waiting for the force to arrive before responding. The field of attention has already included the partner, the line of force, the geometry of the encounter — all of it, before contact. The response is not reactive; it is already in motion as part of the integrated awareness. The classical sequence yi arrives, qi arrives, body arrives expresses this: the practitioner who is operating at the speed of yi is not behind the encounter, because yi is not bound by physical transit. The reactive practitioner, operating at the speed of body sensation, is necessarily behind, because the body is the slowest layer.

This is not magic. It is what happens when the practitioner has trained attention to function as a field that includes the relevant geometry rather than as a point that has to scan from sensation to response.

What Field-Yi Is Not

There is an important distinction to make here, because field-yi can be confused with two things it is not.

It is not *vagueness*. A vague, dreamy, unfocused awareness is not field-yi — it is a kind of mental song without the structural completion. The field of yi must be *alive* throughout, not diffuse. The classical phrase 虛靈 (xu ling) — empty and alive, or empty-spirited — captures this exactly. *Empty* means not gripped to anything in particular. *Alive* means fully present everywhere. The two qualities have to coexist. Empty without alive is dissociation. Alive without empty is point-yi. Both together is field-yi.

It is not *not paying attention to the contact*. The pushing person is making contact, and that contact is real and important and must be registered. But registering contact is not the same as locking attention there. Field-yi *includes* the contact point as one feature of a larger field, rather than collapsing into the contact point as if it were the whole field. The contact is felt clearly, fully, with high resolution. It is also felt in its proper context — as one location within a body, within an encounter, within a space. The high-resolution attention to the contact does not drain attention from everywhere else.

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

This is the harder thing to learn, because the contact is genuinely salient. The body's evolved instinct is to focus on what touches it, especially what touches it with intention. That instinct has to be retrained. The classical Buddhist and Daoist contemplative traditions have technologies for exactly this — open awareness, panoramic attention, the broadly-distributed presence that can include intense local sensation without collapsing into it. Tai Chi requires this same quality of mind, applied to a martial and somatic context.

Music as Metaphor

A useful comparison: field-yi has the quality of how a musician listens. The notes arrive in a sequence, but a listener who locks onto each note misses the music. The melody, the harmony, the rhythm — these are perceived only by an attention that is held *as a whole field* across time, while remaining sensitive to each individual note as it arrives. Field-yi is the same quality applied to body and encounter rather than sound: the whole body attended to as a continuous field, with specific events arriving as features of that field rather than capturing it. We will return to this comparison in more depth when we discuss qi in section 7, where the music analogy will help clarify the relationship between attention and the felt phenomenon.

Recovery from Point-Yi

Field-yi is hard to maintain at first. Almost any sufficiently intense stimulus — a fast push, a surprise, a strong emotion — will pull the attention into point-yi. The training is partly about making the field more stable, but it is also about *recovering* from point-yi when it happens. Because it will happen.

The recovery is not done by forcing attention away from where it has locked. That just adds another grip. The recovery is done by *expanding* attention until the original locked point is included but no longer dominant. The point does not have to be released; the field has to be re-opened around it. As the field opens, the grip naturally loosens, because the attention is no longer concentrated entirely at that one location. The locked point becomes one feature of the larger field again, and the body recovers its wholeness.

This is a skill worth practicing deliberately. In standing meditation, in form practice, in everyday life — notice when the mind has locked onto something. Do not force it elsewhere. Just expand the attention to include more, and more, until the original point is one item in a much larger awareness. The grip releases as the field expands. After enough practice, this becomes an available move whenever you need it, including in push hands when a partner is genuinely trying to capture your attention.

The field-yi quality is the precondition for everything else in the practice working under pressure. Form alone, in your own time, without anyone challenging you — point-yi or

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

blanking will get you through it acceptably. But the moment another person is involved, the moment there is real contact, real intention, real testing — these failures show themselves immediately, and only field-yi succeeds. This is why partner work is part of the training. It teaches the quality of mind that solo practice alone cannot teach, because solo practice does not have anything trying to capture your attention or test your presence. Partners do. And learning to maintain field-yi while a partner is pushing on you, looking at you, intending toward you, is one of the central things push hands actually trains.

A final word for students. Learning to *rest the mind* in this distributed, alive, ungripped way takes a long time. It cannot be hurried. The first years of practice will be full of point-yi and blanking, and the corrections will need to be made over and over. Field-yi will come and go — present in moments, lost in others, gradually becoming more stable as the years accumulate. Do not be discouraged when the mind keeps failing to rest. The repeated noticing and the repeated coming-back is itself the training. The capacity to truly rest the mind throughout a form, throughout an encounter, throughout a day, is the work of decades. The good news is that even partial development pays real dividends. A student with even moderate field-yi is doing genuinely different practice from a student with none. The progress is real, even when it feels slow.

6. Where the Mind Lives

We have been describing the *quality* of attention — distributed, alive, ungripped, present. There is a related question the tradition addresses directly, and it is one students appreciate having spelled out: *where* does the mind live? Where does awareness rest when nothing in particular is being asked of it? And what happens when the situation calls for awareness to be somewhere specific?

The classical instructions can seem to contradict each other. *Sink the mind into the dan tian. Put the mind in the feet. Let the yi extend through the partner.* These are not incompatible — they are addressing different aspects of how mind, qi, and intent operate together. Sorting them out clarifies a great deal of what the practice is actually doing.

The Light Bulb

I once asked Ben Lo, on two separate occasions, where I should put my mind during practice. Both times he gave the same answer: *think of an old-fashioned incandescent light bulb. The light shines everywhere.*

That is the ideal. Awareness as a radiant presence, illuminating the whole body and a bit beyond, with no particular direction privileged over any other. The light bulb is not pointed; it

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

shines in all directions at once. A mind in this state has no fixed location and no place that is dim. It is the fully-realized version of what I have been calling field-yi.

Most of us, most of the time, cannot quite achieve this. The light bulb is an aspiration the practice keeps moving toward across decades. So in practice, there is also a *home* — a residence where awareness rests when it is not yet capable of shining everywhere at once, and where awareness keeps its center even when it is shining widely.

That home is the lower dan tian.

The Lower Dan Tian as Home

The lower dan tian (下丹田) sits roughly three to four cun below the navel, on or near the body's vertical axis but with depth somewhere between the Tai Chi Pole and the front of the belly — close to the body's center of gravity. It is not a specific anatomical structure. It is a *felt location*, an energetic center, that practitioners learn to identify by attending there over time.

What rests at the lower dan tian is *awareness* — consciousness in its receptive, feeling, present quality. Not yi, not directed intent, just awareness. The instruction is to *feel* the dan tian, gently, without forcing, in a relaxed and even happy way. Over time, qi gathers there as a consequence of awareness having gone there. The classical phrase is 氣沉丹田 — qi sinks to the dan tian — and what is being described is this: awareness rests at the dan tian, and qi follows where awareness rests.

This matters as a distinction. You do not direct qi to the dan tian by intent. You rest awareness there, you feel, and qi gathers on its own as a consequence of that resting. The practitioner's job is to feel, to be aware, to relax. The qi does its own work in response to the conditions that song and awareness establish. If a student tries to *force* qi to the dan tian — gripping with intent, willing it there — the forcing itself disrupts the conditions under which qi would have gathered. The relaxed, happy quality is not optional. It is the condition that allows the gathering to happen.

The lower dan tian as home has a second dimension that is worth naming: it is also a center of *health*. The classical traditions treat the lower dan tian as foundational to vitality, and the modern correlate is reasonable to make explicit — the area sits near the body's center of gravity, near major autonomic nervous system structures, and near the diaphragm whose movement organizes both breath and circulation. Returning awareness to the dan tian regularly, throughout practice and throughout the day, is itself health-supporting. The Tai Chi practitioner is not just preparing for martial encounters; they are cultivating a settled, embodied presence at the body's center, and that cultivation has consequences for how the body and the nervous system function over the long term.

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

Three Faculties

It helps, when discussing where the mind lives, to distinguish three things that the word "mind" tends to lump together. These are different functions and they behave differently, and the practice trains them as separate but coordinated faculties.

Awareness — the receptive, feeling quality of consciousness. What lights up when one is fully present. This is what rests at the dan tian. Awareness is *what feels* — its job is to register, to perceive, to be in contact with what is. Awareness can include any region the practitioner attends to, and importantly, it can include multiple regions simultaneously. Its job is not to direct.

Yi (意) — directed intent. The operative faculty that *acts*. When something needs to happen — a movement, a redirection, an issuing of energy — yi is the tool that does the directing. Yi has a target, a direction, an aim. The classical sequence 意到氣到身到 — yi arrives, then qi arrives, then the body arrives — describes yi in its operational mode, leading qi which leads the body in a coordinated action.

Qi (氣) — the energy that the trained body cultivates and circulates. Qi is what gets organized when awareness attends and yi directs. Notably, qi is not usually felt directly. What the practitioner feels is the *secondary effect* of qi — the response of the tissue, the backing-up along a line of attention, the warmth at the dan tian, the felt fullness of an organized body region. Qi is at work in producing those felt phenomena, but the felt sense itself is the tissue and the structure responding, not qi as a separate sensation. This is one reason the metaphysics can be left open: the practitioner does not need to know what qi *is* to work with what qi *does*.

These three operate together and have to be coordinated, but they are distinct. Awareness rests at home and feels everything it includes. Yi is quiet when nothing is being asked, and acts when action is required. Qi gathers where awareness rests and flows where yi directs. The practice is partly about training each of these faculties to do its own job well and to operate appropriately with the others.

Awareness Has No Transit Time

Here is something about awareness that takes a while to recognize, and that changes how the rest of this section is best understood.

Awareness is not a physical signal. It does not travel through space the way a sound or a light or a nerve impulse travels. When you direct attention to your foot, attention does not *propagate* from your head down to your foot. It is simply *at the foot*, the moment you attend there. You can verify this in your own experience: think of a distant place, and your awareness is there, immediately. Bring it back: also immediate. There is no transit time, because

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

awareness is not the kind of thing that has to traverse space to be somewhere.

This is a property of awareness that the practice depends on, and that distinguishes it from yi and qi.

It means awareness can include a whole geometry *as a whole*, without scanning across it sequentially. When a partner makes contact at your forearm, an awareness that is functioning well does not first register the contact, then trace from contact down through the body, then arrive at K1 — the *bubbling well* point at the sole of the foot — that classical practice draws attention to. It includes *the entire line from K1 to the contact*, simultaneously, as a single integrated perception. The line is not traversed. It is included.

A useful image for this is a river channel. The channel is established as a whole shape; water then flows along it. In the same way, awareness establishes the whole geometry of a situation — the body, the line to the partner, the relevant points and pathways — and yi then flows along channels that awareness has already laid down. The yi is slower than the awareness, because yi is doing operational work that has to organize qi and tissue. The awareness is essentially instantaneous, because it does not have to organize anything to be present somewhere; it just *is* present, the moment it includes that location.

This is why, as one matures in the practice, *one does not have time to slide the mind around* during real encounters. The awareness must already include everything relevant, prior to the moment of contact. If the practitioner is trying to move attention from one place to another in sequence, they are too late. What works is to have awareness already configured as a field that includes whatever the situation might call for. The yi can then act along channels the awareness has already established, and there is no setup time.

The Home and the Field

This reframes the home/deployment question I started this section with.

Awareness does not really *leave* the dan tian to go somewhere else. Awareness *expands* to include other locations while keeping its center at the dan tian. The home is where awareness *centers itself*; the field of awareness is whatever shape awareness has taken to include what the situation requires. These are not different acts. They are different *shapes* the same single faculty can take, and the faculty can take any shape it needs to instantly because it is not bound by physical transit.

Practically: awareness rests at the dan tian as a felt center. From there, it extends to include the whole body — the line down to K1 in the soles of the feet, the spine, the shoulders, the arms, the breath. It extends to include the surrounding space when there is a partner or a room to

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

attend to. The dan tian remains the center; the field extends as needed; nothing has to travel for any of this to happen. The light bulb radiates from the dan tian outward, and the radiation is essentially instantaneous because it is awareness, not signal.

Yi, when it acts, acts along the channels awareness has established. *Mind in the feet* during a push is best understood as awareness already including the line from K1 to the contact point, with yi flowing along that line as the situation calls for. The student is not *moving* anything from the contact to the feet. The line is already lit; yi simply does its work along it. The phrase "mind in the feet" is a teaching shorthand that points students toward establishing the line; what is actually happening at maturity is awareness inclusive of the line and yi operative along it.

Sending yi *through* the partner has the same character. The line through the partner to a point behind them is established in awareness. Yi flows along that line when the situation calls for issuing energy that direction. The partner does not feel a push from your contact point; they feel something already past them, with their body in between. This works because awareness has included the far point as part of its field, and yi has the channel already laid down.

The right arm following near the partner's back during rollback works the same way. The arc of the technique is included in awareness as a whole shape. The right arm moves along the arc; the yi extends through and around the partner; the technique completes energetically because the field of awareness already included the completion before the body arrived at it.

Don't Force, Feel

Throughout all of this, the tone of the practice matters. The dan tian is *felt*, not gripped. Qi cannot be forced. The river channels are established by attending — by feeling, by being present, by allowing awareness to take the shapes it needs to take — not by willing.

Beginners often hear instructions like "put your mind in the dan tian" and treat it as a job. They concentrate. They focus. They try to push attention there. The result is a tense student with a bright point of focus in their abdomen and the rest of the body slightly dimmed — point-yi at the home location, with all the structural problems point-yi creates. This is not what the instruction means.

The correct version is to *feel* the dan tian, lightly, in a relaxed way, perhaps with a small smile, with the breath dropping naturally into the lower belly, with the rest of the body free. The dan tian is a place to rest, not a place to grip. Whatever happens there — the warmth, the fullness, the sense of settledness — happens because the conditions of song and relaxed attention have been established. The student's job is to set up the conditions. The body and the qi take care of the rest.

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

The same applies to extending the field. The line from K1 to the contact point is included in awareness because awareness *can* include it, easily, when conditions allow. The student does not have to *force* the line to be there. They have to be relaxed enough, present enough, undistracted enough that awareness can naturally take the shape the situation calls for. Forcing the field produces a different phenomenon entirely — a tense distributed grip — which is its own failure mode.

This relaxed, feeling, happy quality is not separable from the practice. It is the practice. A grasping mind cannot do field-yi. A frustrated mind cannot rest at the dan tian. A self-critical mind cannot maintain awareness at the speed required. Cheerful patient feeling is the working state of the practice, and the techniques only function within it.

Maturation

At early stages, students need explicit instruction for all of this. *Feel the dan tian. Feel the K1. Feel the line between them. When pushed, let the yi flow down to the feet.* The instructions are followed somewhat mechanically at first, and the practice develops in a step-by-step way.

Over time, with sufficient practice, the architecture starts running on its own. Awareness rests naturally at the dan tian without having to be sent there. The field extends to include relevant geometry without having to be configured. Yi flows along established channels when situations call for it, without having to be directed. The whole system has been trained long enough that it operates as a single coordinated process rather than as a collection of individual instructions being followed.

This is the wu wei version of mind-placement — effortless, accurate, fast. Not because the practitioner has gotten better at deploying mind manually, but because the practitioner has stopped needing to. The trained mind has internalized its homes and its operations and runs them as a habit of being. The classical phrase 用意不用力 — use yi, not li — finally describes a way of being that has become second nature, rather than an instruction being remembered in the moment.

This is what years of practice are partly building. Not just the techniques, but the *coordinated functioning of awareness, yi, qi, and body* as a single integrated system that responds appropriately to what arises. The light bulb, the home, the field, the river channels, the deployments — all of it eventually becomes one thing, happening together, without the practitioner having to think about any of it. When that begins to come, the practice has matured into something that happens through the practitioner rather than something the practitioner has to constantly direct.

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

7. Qi, Practically

We have used the word qi (氣) throughout this paper without saying much directly about what it is. That has been deliberate. The metaphysics of qi is a deep topic with two thousand years of tradition behind it, and a paper aimed at students of the Tai Chi body does not need to settle questions that have not been settled in twenty centuries. What students need is enough understanding to *practice well*. The deeper questions can stay open without affecting the work.

What follows are two practical claims about qi that are defensible from felt experience and that give the student enough to work with. The first is that qi-as-experienced is real and shareable. The second is that qi arises from organized attention. Together these are sufficient for practice. The further questions — what qi *is* at a metaphysical or biophysical level, whether it is one thing or many, what its substrate ultimately is — can be set aside as live questions worth staying curious about, without needing answers.

Qi-as-Experienced is Real

The first claim is a phenomenological one. Qi-as-experienced is real, in the sense that it is a reliable, repeatable, intersubjectively-recognizable phenomenon for those who train it. Whatever its ultimate substrate, it can be cultivated, directed, and used. Two trained practitioners making contact can recognize each other's qi quality. Three trained practitioners watching a fourth can agree on whether his qi is gathered or scattered, sunk or rising, clear or muddied. Practitioners can describe the qualities of their own and each other's qi using a shared vocabulary that, while imperfect, allows genuine communication about what is being felt.

This is a stronger claim than "qi is subjective" and a weaker claim than "qi is a measurable energy of the kind described in physics." It is the claim that qi sits in the same epistemic neighborhood as music, mood, or mind: real, reliably perceivable by trained perceivers, communicable in shared language, and not reducible to any single physical quantity our instruments currently measure. That is enough to take it seriously and to work with it. The further question of what it is at a deeper level can remain genuinely open.

For a student wondering whether qi is real, the practical answer is: train enough, and you will recognize it when you encounter it. Until then, the question has the wrong shape. It is like asking a non-musician whether melody is real. The answer is yes, but the realness is verified by developing the perception that recognizes it, not by being argued into belief through definitions.

It is worth being explicit about what this paper is *not* claiming. Some traditions treat qi as ontologically fundamental — a primary substance or principle of the universe from which other things derive. Others treat it as entirely reducible to ordinary physical processes that we

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

have not yet fully characterized. The framing in this paper is deliberately agnostic between these positions. I am not claiming qi is a fundamental substance; I am also not claiming it is *only* a felt phenomenon arising in trained practitioners. I am simply describing what the practice works with — qi-as-experienced — and the conditions under which it arises and can be cultivated. The deeper ontological question is real and worth holding, but settling it is not a prerequisite for practice and not the work of this paper. Practitioners across centuries have cultivated qi successfully under widely different metaphysical understandings of what it ultimately is.

Qi Arises from Organized Attention

The second claim is the practical one, and it is where the section earns its keep. *Qi arises from organized attention to body regions.* It is not a pre-existing fluid that the mind directs around. It is a phenomenon that *arises when attention takes a particular form*, and that disappears when attention loses that form.

This reverses the usual causal arrow. Most folk frameworks treat qi as a substance or energy that exists independently and that the mind can push around. The framing here treats qi as something that arises *with* the act of attending, as an organized phenomenon rather than a pre-existing fluid being directed. Where attention takes the right form, qi gathers and becomes available; where attention loses that form, qi disperses. The mind does not direct qi from outside the way a person directs a hose; the qi is *organized by* attention rather than commanded by it.

This explains a number of things the substance model handles poorly. It explains why qi seems to "follow yi" (意领氣) — because qi is not preceding yi at all, it is being established by the conditions yi creates. It explains why beginners do not feel qi until their attention develops the right quality — there is nothing wrong with their qi, what is missing is the kind of attention that organizes it. It explains why the felt sense of qi can move instantly to wherever attention goes — the "movement" is not a fluid flowing, it is the locus of attentional organization shifting. And it explains why forcing produces the opposite of what is wanted — gripping attention is not the kind of organization that constitutes qi; relaxed, distributed, alive attention is.

This shifts the whole locus of practice from sensitivity-development (a passive notion: getting better at perceiving something already there) to *attention cultivation* (an active notion: learning to attend in the way that organizes the phenomenon). The latter is something you can actually teach. You do not need to learn to feel qi as if it were a hidden thing to be detected. You need to learn to attend in the way that establishes qi as an organized state, and the felt sense will arise as a consequence.

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

Music as Metaphor

A useful comparison: qi is to attention as music is to listening.

Music is real. Trained listeners recognize it across cultures and instruments. Musicians communicate about it using a shared vocabulary that is imperfect but functional. You can analyze music in terms of acoustic properties — frequencies, amplitudes, harmonics — but the *music* is not those properties. It is the organized phenomenon that arises when those properties are arranged in particular ways and a listener attends in the way that lets the arrangement be heard as music. Without the listener's attention organized correctly, music collapses into noise. Without the right acoustic structure, the most attentive listener hears nothing musical. Music exists in the relationship between organized sound and organized listening. It is not in the sound alone. It is not in the listener alone. It is in the meeting.

Qi has the same character. There is a substrate — the body, the tissue, the breath, the bio-electrical and fascial and circulatory systems all operating in their ordinary ways. There is also attention, which can be in many states. When attention takes the particular form that song and field-yi establish, and when that attention attends to a body region in a particular way, *qi* arises as the organized phenomenon at that location. The substrate matters; the attention matters; neither alone is qi. Qi is what those substrates feel like when attention has organized them.

This framing has an important consequence for students: qi is *attention-dependent without being merely subjective*. Music is attention-dependent — there is no music without minds attending to organized sound — but is not therefore arbitrary or unreal. Music is robust, shareable, recognizable, criticizable. So is qi. The attention-dependence is the right ontology; it is just not the deflationary ontology that "subjective" usually implies.

Practical Implications

A few things follow from these two claims that are worth naming directly for students.

Quality of attention matters more than intensity. Gripping, focused, point-attention does not organize qi well — it produces local tension and very limited coherence. Soft, distributed, presence-style attention produces the felt sense of qi much more reliably. This is the same teaching as field-yi versus point-yi from earlier in the paper, applied now to qi cultivation specifically. It is the *kind* of attention that matters, not the *amount*.

Attention precedes qi. When students wonder why they do not feel qi, the answer is almost never "you need more qi" — it is "your attention is not yet of the quality that organizes the phenomenon." The cultivation work is on the attention. The qi will arrive when the attention is right. This is liberating because attention is something they can practice, whereas qi treated as

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

a substance to be acquired feels mysterious and out of reach.

"Qi follows yi" is descriptive, not magical. The classical formula 意領氣 — yi leads qi — now reads cleanly. Where attention takes the right form, the felt phenomenon arises. Where attention shifts, the felt phenomenon shifts with it. There is no fluid being directed; there is an organizational locus reconstituting at the new location. For students, this means that *practicing the placement of attention* is exactly the same as practicing qi cultivation. They are the same act.

Forcing fails. Because qi arises from a particular *quality* of attention — relaxed, distributed, alive — forcing attention disrupts the very conditions that allow qi to arise. The student who tries to "build qi" through concentration is making it impossible. The correct posture is the relaxed, happy, feeling quality we discussed earlier. Cheerful patient feeling is what allows qi to gather. Effort and ambition push it away.

The substrate question is open and does not need resolving. Whether qi is best understood through the fascial system, through bio-electrical phenomena, through autonomic regulation, through some quantum-level coherence in the tissues, or through some combination yet to be characterized — these are real research questions that students can stay curious about. The practice does not depend on the answer. Practitioners have cultivated qi successfully for many centuries without knowing what its substrate is, because the *practice* operates at the level of attention and felt experience, not at the level of underlying mechanism.

What This Frees Students From

This framing frees students from two common confusions.

It frees them from *feeling like a failure if they do not feel qi*. The felt sense arises when attention has the right quality, and developing that quality takes time. Students who are not feeling qi yet are not deficient in qi — they are still developing the quality of attention that organizes it. The failure to feel is information about where the practice is, not a verdict on the practitioner.

It also frees them from *needing to defend qi to skeptics*. The defensible version of qi — qi-as-experienced, arising from organized attention — does not require any commitment to claims that the skeptic finds objectionable. There is no claim that qi is a measurable energy unknown to physics. There is no claim that qi violates any known principle. There is only the claim that *attention organized in particular ways produces felt phenomena that practitioners can cultivate, share, and work with*, and this is something the skeptic has no good grounds to deny without dismissing felt experience entirely. The two claims are modest enough to defend and substantive enough to practice with.

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

So the qi section of this paper does not say much about what qi *is* in any deep sense. It says only what is needed for practice: qi-as-experienced is real, qi arises from organized attention, the cultivation work is on the quality of attention rather than on the substance of qi. The deeper questions are open and worth staying curious about. The practice does not wait for them to be answered.

8. Zhong Ding — The Through-Line

We have come a long way through the architecture of the Tai Chi body. Song as the gate. The jin body as the trained substrate. The decoupling of inner body and outer shell. The field of yi as the quality of distributed awareness. Mind, qi, and intent as three coordinated faculties with their homes and operations. Qi as the felt phenomenon arising from organized attention.

All of these are aspects of one thing, and that one thing has a classical name: zhong ding (中定), central equilibrium.

The King of the Thirteen Postures

The thirteen postures (十三勢) of Tai Chi are usually given as the eight gates and the five steps: peng, lu, ji, an, cai, lie, zhou, kao, plus advance, retreat, look left, gaze right, and central equilibrium. They are the foundational vocabulary of the practice, and Grasp Sparrow's Tail in the form is one of the places where the four primary energies (peng, lu, ji, an) are trained directly. Zhong ding is listed last among the steps, but it functions as the ground of all the others. The eight energies emerge from a centered structure. The four directional steps depart from and return to a central balance. Without zhong ding, the eight gates have no organizing center to issue from, and the four steps have no stable reference to step from or back to. Advance becomes falling forward. Retreat becomes falling backward. The energies become disconnected fragments. Zhong ding is the still point that allows movement to be coherent.

A small historical note on the thirteen postures themselves. They are often presented as mapped onto the eight trigrams of the Bagua and the five elements of Wuxing, with each gate and step assigned a trigram or element. These correspondences are pedagogically useful and resonate well with classical Chinese cosmology, but they appear to be later overlays rather than the original organizing principle. The eight gates and five steps probably existed as functional martial categories first, and the trigram and element mappings were tacked on as the practice developed and accumulated philosophical context. Other martial arts — Bagua Zhang most notably — are explicitly built on the trigrams as a foundational framework; Tai Chi wears the correspondences more loosely. For practical purposes, the thirteen postures stand on their own as a working vocabulary; the cosmological framing is available if you find it

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

illuminating but not required for the practice to function.

A practical note on the related framing of the nine directions. This is not central to everyday practice, but it is worth knowing. In workshop teaching, Ben Lo would sometimes use the nine directions — the eight directions of the compass (north, south, east, west, and the four corners) plus the vertical axis (up and down) — as a diagnostic for genuine song. He would have a student stand, and check whether they could be pushed out in each of the nine directions. If the student could not be uprooted in any of them, the song was real and the structure was sound throughout. If there was even one direction where they could be pushed out, that direction revealed where the work was not yet done. The nine directions become a test of the trained body, a way of demonstrating that the Tai Chi body, when real, is rooted in all directions and not just the obvious ones. Most daily practice does not work with this framework explicitly, but it captures something true about what the practice is building toward.

The classical phrase is 立身中正 — *li shen zhong zheng* — establish the body in central correctness. *Zhong* is centered. *Zheng* is upright, true, correct. Central uprightness. The body established this way is the body the practice is building. Everything we have described in this paper is in service of this.

Structural Condition and State of Consciousness

Zhong ding has two faces, and both have to be understood for the term to make sense.

The structural face is straightforward to describe. The body has a vertical axis from baihui at the crown, through the perineum, to the point between the feet. This is the Tai Chi Pole. When the practitioner is in zhong ding, the axis is plumb — neither leaning forward nor back, neither tilting left nor right, neither collapsed downward nor stretched upward. The head is suspended as if from above. The spine is aligned in its natural curves without forcing. The weight settles through the axis to the feet, which root into the ground. The body is upright in the simplest mechanical sense.

The state of consciousness face is harder to describe but no less important. The mind has a vertical axis too, in a sense. There is a *centered presence* that can be more or less stable, more or less continuous, more or less alive through changes. When the practitioner is in zhong ding consciously, this centered presence is whole — not gripped to any particular location, not abandoned to wandering, not collapsed by fear or anger or surprise, not inflated by ambition or pride. The mind is *centered* the way the body is centered: balanced around its own axis, plumb in its presence, neither leaning toward nor away from anything.

These two faces are not separate phenomena. They are the same phenomenon expressed at two layers of the practitioner's being. A wobble in the mind shows up as a wobble in the structure.

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

A structural displacement shows up as a perturbation in awareness. Training one trains the other. You cannot have genuine zhong ding in the body without some corresponding stability in the mind, and you cannot have genuine zhong ding in the mind without the body being able to carry it. They are one condition with two presentations.

This is what makes zhong ding both simple and inexhaustible. *Stand straight. Stay centered.* The instruction can be given on day one. But what those words actually point at takes a lifetime to develop, because the body has to be reorganized to be able to stand truly straight, and the mind has to be cultivated to be able to stay truly centered. Beginners can begin to approximate zhong ding immediately. Masters are still refining it after fifty years. It is available at every level and inexhaustible at every level.

How Zhong Ding Ties It All Together

This paper has been describing aspects of one integrated phenomenon. Each aspect can be talked about separately for the sake of clarity, but in practice they are not separate. Zhong ding is the principle that makes the integration possible. Each aspect either *requires* zhong ding or *expresses* zhong ding, and often both.

The jin body — the shell — can only form a continuous fascial envelope around a stable axis. If the axis wobbles, the shell distorts. If the axis is held, the shell can be uniform. Zhong ding is what makes the jin body's coherence possible in the first place. The shell is, in a sense, the felt expression of zhong ding distributed through the tissue.

The decoupling of inner body and outer shell only works because both reference the same center. The inner body and the outer shape can have different motions because they share a common axis. Without zhong ding, the decoupling becomes incoherence. The inner and outer go their separate ways and the practitioner falls apart functionally. With zhong ding, the decoupling becomes capacity. The inner and outer can do different things while remaining one integrated whole. The center is what allows the two-bodied character of advanced practice without disintegration.

The field of yi has a center, and that center is zhong ding. Field-yi is not formless awareness; it is a field organized around an axis. The axis is what keeps the field from dispersing into mere diffuseness. Empty awareness without a center becomes vague, dreamy, useless for martial purposes. Awareness with zhong ding is panoramic but anchored. The center holds while the field extends. This is the difference between centered open awareness and dissociated diffuse awareness, and it is the difference between a master and a wandering attention.

The lower dan tian as home for awareness is one expression of zhong ding. It sits on or near the central axis. Awareness rests there as the practical center of the field. The home is the dan

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

tian; the axis is the structural fact that places the dan tian where it is. The home-in-the-dan-tian and the axis-of-zhong-ding are not separate things — the home is where awareness rests *because* the axis is where the body's center is.

Qi cultivation takes its coherence from zhong ding. Without a center, attention disperses and qi has nothing to organize around. With a center, attention can extend in any direction without scattering, and qi gathers and circulates in a body that has the structural coherence to support it. The trained body the practice cultivates is the body in which zhong ding is real, and it is in this body that qi can be cultivated meaningfully.

The belief substrate, the patient work of song, the willingness to go to the fearful places — all of this is what allows zhong ding to genuinely arise rather than being merely posed. A student who poses zhong ding by holding themselves stiffly upright has none of it. A student who has done the deep work of releasing holding patterns, building trust in the practice, and letting the body's wisdom emerge has zhong ding *as a consequence* of that work. The body finds its true vertical when nothing is forcing it to be elsewhere.

Zhong Ding and Gravity

We began this paper with the observation that without gravity there would be no Tai Chi. The closing of that thought belongs here.

Zhong ding is the body's wu wei response to gravity. Gravity pulls everything downward, continuously, with a force that can either be fought or surrendered to. A body that fights gravity — that holds itself up with muscular effort, that braces against the pull — develops chronic tension, fragmented coordination, fatigue, and the segmentation that prevents the jin body from forming. A body that collapses to gravity — that simply gives way under it without structure — has no Tai Chi either, because there is no organized form for the energy to express through.

Zhong ding is the third option. The body neither fights gravity nor collapses to it. It *uses* gravity. The skeleton stacks. The fascial system organizes around the line of force. The center of gravity finds its plumb position. The practitioner stands tall not because they are holding themselves up, but because the structure has found the configuration in which gravity does the holding *for* them. Zhong ding is the body's discovery that the very force that could pull it down is the same force that holds it up, when the body is organized correctly.

This is wu wei made structural. Effortless action through correct alignment. The body does not need to *do* anything to stand in zhong ding; it needs to *stop doing* what was preventing zhong ding. Gravity is not the enemy. Gravity is the partner. The whole vertical axis is a relationship with gravity that has been honored rather than fought, and the standing body in zhong ding is

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

that relationship made visible.

Every higher development of the practice depends on this base relationship being correct. The shell forms around an axis that gravity is helping to organize. The decoupling happens within a body that gravity has stabilized. The field of yi extends from a center that gravity has placed. Qi gathers in a body that has come to terms with the field of force it lives inside. Without the right relationship to gravity, none of the rest can develop. With it, the rest develops naturally as the practice deepens.

So the gravity observation from the opening returns now as a closing thought. Tai Chi is not separate from the basic physical fact of being a body in a gravitational field. It is the practice of being a body in a gravitational field *correctly* — neither fighting the field nor collapsing under it, but standing in the relationship the field actually offers, which is one of effortless support to a structure that has organized itself appropriately. Zhong ding is that organization. The Tai Chi body is the body that has found it.

The Through-Line, Restated

So to bring the paper back to the question that started it.

The Tai Chi body is the body organized around zhong ding, in which song has released enough holding to allow the jin body's fascial envelope to form, in which the inner body can move with a freedom decoupled from the outer shell, in which awareness rests at the lower dan tian and extends as a field of yi to whatever the situation calls for, in which qi arises naturally from the organized attention this state makes possible, and in which gravity has been met as a partner rather than an enemy. It is not one thing, and it is not many things; it is one *integrated condition* with many aspects, all of which serve and are served by the central principle of central equilibrium.

The classical phrase 立身中正 — establish the body in central correctness — names this condition with the brevity the tradition prefers. *Establish* the body. The work is active, sustained, lifelong. *Central correctness* is what is being established. Everything else in the practice contributes to this and unfolds from it. The Tai Chi body is the body in which 立身中正 has become real, and the practice is the lifetime of making it more real, more deeply, in more conditions, under more pressure, with more grace.

Closing — The Student's Question

The student's question that started this paper was: *what is the Tai Chi body?*

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

The honest short answer is: it is the body the practice is building. The longer answer is everything in the eight sections above. Neither answer is fully satisfying, because the Tai Chi body is not a thing that can be pointed at and named the way a muscle or a posture can be pointed at and named. It is an *integrated condition* — a way the body is organized, a way attention is held, a way the practitioner inhabits gravity, all together — that arises slowly, over years, as the practice deepens.

Each component we have described is a small thing. Song is just relaxation, except that genuine song takes decades and goes deeper than any beginner can imagine. The shell is just connective tissue functioning as a tensegrity network, except that the network only organizes itself when song has been worked patiently and yi has the right quality. Field-yi is just distributed attention, except that distributed attention is hard to maintain and most practitioners lose it under the slightest pressure. Mind in the dan tian is just resting awareness at the body's center, except that resting awareness without gripping or wandering is the work of a lifetime. Zhong ding is just standing up straight, except that standing truly straight requires all of the above to be functioning together.

None of these things, in isolation, is the Tai Chi body. The Tai Chi body is what arises when all of them are present together, supporting each other, integrated into a single coordinated functioning. *The whole is genuinely greater than the sum of its parts*. A practitioner who has only some of the components has only some of what the practice is pointing at. A practitioner who has all of them has something qualitatively different — a body that operates by different principles than ordinary bodies do, that responds to contact and intention with different capacities, that moves through the world with a different quality of presence.

This is why the Tai Chi body cannot be installed quickly and cannot be shortcutted. It is not a technique that can be added to a body. It is a *reorganization* of the body, undertaken in cooperation with the body's own intelligence, over a long enough time that the deep layers can release and reorganize. The practice is the gradual conditions under which the body comes to be this way, and once the body is this way, the practice is what keeps it this way and develops it further.

For a student just beginning, the right thing to know is that the work is real, and that it is patient work. There are no shortcuts. There are also no insurmountable obstacles. Everyone who does the practice honestly, over enough years, develops the Tai Chi body to some degree — not because they will it, but because the body responds to the conditions the practice creates. Song dissolves what was holding. The fascial system organizes itself when given the chance. Attention develops the qualities that distributed practice cultivates. Gravity does its work on a body that has stopped fighting it. The Tai Chi body emerges.

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

For a student who has been at it for some years, the right thing to know is that the work continues to deepen. Song has more layers. Zhong ding has more refinements. The field of yi can become more stable, more inclusive, more responsive. The qi can clarify. The decoupling can become more complete. There is no point at which the practice is finished. There is only the work, continuing, opening up new territory as old territory becomes familiar. As things integrate into the practitioner's being, what once required deliberate attention becomes second nature, and what once seemed like separate techniques reveals itself as a single coordinated way of being.

For a student wondering whether all of this is worth the effort, the answer is in the doing. Verbal description can carry only so far. The Tai Chi body, once it begins to be felt, justifies itself. The student who has experienced even a moment of genuine song, or a brief instance of the shell forming, or a flicker of field-yi under pressure, knows from the inside that something real is being pointed at — something that solves problems the ordinary body cannot solve, that offers possibilities the ordinary body does not have access to, and that brings a quality of being that nothing else quite produces.

The practice is always available. The body is always willing. Gravity is always offering its support. The work is to show up, attend honestly, release what is holding, and let the body discover what it is capable of when the conditions are right. The Tai Chi body is what waits at the other end of that long patient work — and the work, it turns out, is its own reward, because the journey toward the Tai Chi body is also the practice of being more present, more relaxed, more centered, and more alive in every moment along the way.

That is what I would say to the student who asked the question. And that is what I have tried to say to all of you in this paper, in more words than I would normally use, because the question deserved a careful answer and the answer turned out to be larger than I expected when I sat down to write it.

May your practice be patient, your investigations honest, and your bodies, in time, find their own central correctness. 立身中正.

References and Further Reading

The references below are organized by the kind of work they are, and lightly annotated to help students decide which to pursue. This is not a comprehensive bibliography — it is a curated set of doorways into the territory the paper has covered.

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

Classical Sources

These are the foundational texts of the Tai Chi tradition. They are terse, often opaque on first reading, and inexhaustible on rereading once practice has matured. Multiple translations exist for each; the ones noted are useful starting points.

Benjamin Pang Jeng Lo, Martin Inn, Robert Amacker, and Susan Foe (translators and editors), *The Essence of T'ai Chi Ch'uan: The Literary Tradition* (IRI Press, San Francisco, 2008; originally published 1979). This is the most important reference for students in this lineage. It is the primary English translation of the core classical texts of Tai Chi Chuan — the Wang Tsung-yueh treatise, the Mental Elucidation of the Thirteen Postures, the Song of the Thirteen Postures, the Song of Push Hands, and others — produced by translators directly in the CMC transmission line. Ben Lo and Martin Inn together with Robert Amacker and Susan Foe brought a depth of practical understanding to the translation that distinguishes it from purely scholarly versions. The book is not available through Amazon; it is sold directly by the Inner Research Institute (iritaichi.org). For students who want to read the classics in the translation closest to how they are taught in this lineage, this is the book to acquire.

Tai Chi Chuan Classics — the core anonymous and attributed treatises that ground the entire practice. Includes the *Treatise on Tai Chi Chuan* attributed to Wang Tsung-yueh (王宗岳), the *Mental Elucidation of the Thirteen Postures* (十三勢行功心解), the *Song of the Thirteen Postures* (十三勢歌), and the *Song of Push Hands* (打手歌). Beyond the Lo/Inn/Amacker/Foe translation above, scholarly alternatives are available in Douglas Wile's *T'ai-chi Touchstones: Yang Family Secret Transmissions* (Sweet Ch'i Press, 1983) and *Lost T'ai-chi Classics from the Late Ch'ing Dynasty* (SUNY Press, 1996). Wile's translations are scholarly and faithful and useful for cross-reference.

Yang Chengfu, *Ten Essentials of Tai Chi Chuan* (太極拳十要). The canonical body checklist transmitted through the Yang family. Includes the suspended head, sunk chest, relaxed waist, sinking shoulders, distinguishing full and empty, and others. Available in many anthologies; Wile's collections include it.

I Ching (易經), *Book of Changes*. The deeper cosmological framework underlying classical Chinese thought, including yin-yang, the eight trigrams, and the principles of change that Tai Chi embodies in movement. Many translations; Richard Wilhelm's (Princeton, 1950) is the standard, though dense.

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

CMC Lineage and Direct Tradition

Cheng Man-ch'ing, *Cheng Tzu's Thirteen Treatises on T'ai Chi Ch'uan* (鄭子太極拳十三篇). CMC's own primary text. Covers the principles of relaxation, the cultivation of qi, the development of the body, and the philosophy that underlies the practice. Translated by Benjamin Lo and Martin Inn (North Atlantic Books, 1985). This is essential reading for students in this lineage.

Cheng Man-ch'ing, *Master Cheng's Thirteen Chapters on T'ai-Chi Ch'uan* — alternative translation and edition by Douglas Wile (Sweet Ch'i Press, 1982).

Robert W. Smith, *Cheng Man-ch'ing: Master of Five Excellences* (North Atlantic Books, 1995). Biographical and reflective; gives a portrait of CMC the man as well as the teaching.

Wolfe Lowenthal, *There Are No Secrets: Professor Cheng Man-ch'ing and His T'ai Chi Chuan* (North Atlantic Books, 1991). One of the most accessible introductions to CMC's approach, written by a student who studied with him directly.

Wolfe Lowenthal, *Gateway to the Miraculous: Further Explorations in the Tao of Cheng Man-ch'ing* (North Atlantic Books, 1994). Continues the work of the first book, with deeper explorations of CMC's philosophy and practice.

Wolfe Lowenthal, *Searching for a Genuine Art: T'ai Chi and the Spirit of Cheng Man-ch'ing* (Sunflower Press, 2010). A later book in the same vein.

Contemporary Practice and Teaching

These are teachers and writers actively transmitting Tai Chi or directly relevant internal arts in ways that touch the territory of this paper.

Adam Mizner, various video lectures and the Heaven Man Earth materials. Mizner teaches in a Yang-style tradition different from the CMC line but addresses many of the same questions about the trained body, the eight energies, and zhong ding with unusual clarity. His distinction between the trained body and ordinary structure, his treatment of song as a developmental stage, and his framing of zhong ding as both structural and conscious are referenced in this paper. His YouTube lectures and online course materials (heavenmanearth.com) are the primary access points.

Mike Sigman, *internalstrength.com* and various essays. Sigman writes analytically about peng, jin, and the underlying connected-body quality of Chinese internal martial arts. His framing is more technical than poetic and helpful for students who want a careful description of what is being trained.

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

Sam Masich, *Complete Tai Chi Chuan*. A teacher with broad cross-style experience who writes and teaches with depth about the principles common across Tai Chi traditions.

Ben Lo, various recordings and student transmissions. Ben was CMC's senior student and a major figure in the Western transmission of the practice. Material from Ben is most reliably found through his students and through workshop recordings rather than through published books.

Somatic Science and Fascia Research

These works connect the traditional body of Tai Chi to contemporary research on fascia, tensegrity, and somatic regulation. They do not replace the classical understanding but complement it for students who want the bridge to modern science.

Helene Langevin, MD, various peer-reviewed papers. Langevin's research at Harvard and the NIH connecting acupuncture meridians to fascial planes, and demonstrating that connective tissue responds to stretch and intention, is foundational. PubMed searches under her name will surface the relevant work.

Robert Schleip and Thomas Findley (eds.), *Fascia: The Tensional Network of the Human Body* (Churchill Livingstone, 2012). The major scholarly anthology on fascial research. Technical but authoritative.

Tom Myers, *Anatomy Trains: Myofascial Meridians for Manual and Movement Therapists* (Churchill Livingstone, 4th ed., 2020). The accessible classic on fascial continuity and how the body's connective tissue forms long lines of integration. Useful for understanding tensegrity in the human body in a practical way.

Peter M. Wayne with Mark L. Fuerst, *The Harvard Medical School Guide to Tai Chi: 12 Weeks to a Healthy Body, Strong Heart, and Sharp Mind* (Shambhala, 2013). A thoroughly evidence-based introduction that connects Tai Chi practice to current research on neuroscience, autonomic regulation, balance, and aging.

James L. Oschman, *Energy Medicine: The Scientific Basis* (Churchill Livingstone, 2nd ed., 2015). Older but still useful overview of how the body's electrical and connective-tissue systems carry the kinds of phenomena that traditional practices have long worked with.

Stephen Porges, *The Polyvagal Theory* (Norton, 2011). Background on autonomic regulation, safety, and the nervous system's role in releasing or holding patterns of tension. Useful for understanding the belief substrate and why song requires nervous system permission.

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

Inner Work and Psychological Frames

These works are not specifically about Tai Chi but are relevant to the inner dimensions of the practice — fear, holding patterns, the parts of the self, and the inner work that the body work invites.

Richard C. Schwartz, *Internal Family Systems Therapy* (Guilford Press, 2nd ed., 2019), and *No Bad Parts* (Sounds True, 2021). The IFS framework for understanding the multiplicity of the self, useful for students working with the holding patterns and protective parts that show up in Tai Chi practice.

Bessel van der Kolk, *The Body Keeps the Score* (Viking, 2014). On how the body holds the history of experience, and how somatic practices can address what cognitive approaches cannot.

Daoist and Contemplative Background

For students who want to deepen their understanding of the philosophical context Tai Chi grew out of.

Lao Tzu, *Tao Te Ching* (道德經). The foundational Daoist text. Many translations exist; D. C. Lau's (Penguin, 1963), Stephen Mitchell's (Harper, 1988), and Red Pine's (Copper Canyon, 2009) are all useful in different ways.

Chuang Tzu, *Inner Chapters*. The other foundational Daoist text. Burton Watson's translation (Columbia, 1968) is widely used; Brook Ziporyn's (Hackett, 2009) is more recent and scholarly.

Iain McGilchrist, *The Master and His Emissary: The Divided Brain and the Making of the Western World* (Yale, 2009). Long but rewarding; provides a contemporary framework for understanding the difference between point-attention and field-attention at the level of brain hemisphere specialization.

© 2026 Mark Bartlett

A Note on Translation and Romanization

The paper has used Wade-Giles romanization for some terms (CMC's name as Cheng Man-ch'ing, lineage figures) and pinyin for others (zhong ding, song, peng, yi, qi). This reflects how the terms most commonly appear in English-language Tai Chi literature rather than any strict policy. Wade-Giles is older and dominates the earlier Western Tai Chi literature; pinyin is the modern standard in academic and most current writing. Students will encounter both. Common cross-references: *song* in pinyin is *sung* in Wade-Giles; *zhong ding* is *chung ting*; *peng* is *peng* in both systems; *qi* is *ch'i*; *yi* is *i*; *jing* (essence) is *ching*; *jin* (trained power) is *chin*. The Chinese characters are stable across romanization systems and are given in the body of the paper for the most important terms.

A Final Note

A bibliography is a beginning, not a substitute for practice. Reading about the Tai Chi body will not produce the Tai Chi body. The body is built by training, in the presence of qualified teachers, over years. The reading is a companion to that work — useful for clarifying, contextualizing, and inspiring, but not a replacement for the work itself. The classics themselves repeatedly insist on this. *Real knowledge* (真知) in this tradition is knowledge that has been verified in the body, and only that knowledge is finally relied upon. The books point. The practice arrives.

© 2026 Mark Bartlett